Vault: Fast Bootstrapping for the Algorand Cryptocurrency

Derek Leung, Adam Suhl, Yossi Gilad, Nickolai Zeldovich Algorand / MIT CSAIL



Derek → Landlord \$1,000 Nickolai → Derek \$3 ...







. . .



















Bootstrapping

Goal: Securely and efficiently enable a new user to join, given initial state

- Minimize state transmitted
- Minimize proof that state is valid

Contribution

- Design of Vault, a system with secure and efficient bootstrapping
- 3 techniques for reducing sizes of state and proof of state
- 477MB data transfer cost for 500M transactions (Bitcoin: 143GB)

Approach	Challenge	Vault's Solution
Reduce state transmitted: Garbage collection	Transaction replay attacks	Force transactions to expire

Approach	Challenge	Vault's Solution
Reduce state transmitted: Garbage collection	Transaction replay attacks	Force transactions to expire
Reduce state transmitted: Shard state	Small shards lose security	Adaptive Merkle Tree sharding

Approach	Challenge	Vault's Solution
Reduce state transmitted: Garbage collection	Transaction replay attacks	Force transactions to expire
Reduce state transmitted: Shard state	Small shards lose security	Adaptive Merkle Tree sharding
Reduce size of state proof: Compress history	Attacker tampers with history	Succinct certificates

Approach	Challenge	Vault's Solution
Reduce state transmitted: Garbage collection	Transaction replay attacks	Force transactions to expire
Reduce state transmitted: Shard state	Small shards lose security	Adaptive Merkle Tree sharding
Reduce size of state proof: Compress history	Attacker tampers with history	Succinct certificates

Background

Algorand Background

- Permissionless
- Proof-of-stake
- Cryptographic proof that state is correct

Security Model

- Standard cryptographic assumptions
- New user knows state 0 (i.e., the "genesis")
- $f \leq 20\%$ of stake is malicious



B2













Stake-weighted sample

















Must download every block and certificate

Vault: Compress History

- 1. Vault skips blocks
- 2. Vault shrinks certificates

1. Skipping Blocks
Base Bootstrapping



Base Bootstrapping







Since we skipped blocks, we don't know what this is

Add Merkle proofs of balance



Since we skipped blocks, we don't know what this is



Only need headers, certificates, and final state

Certificates are 10x bigger due to Merkle proofs



Only need headers, certificates, and final state

2. Shrinking Certificates

Proving a Block Correct



Smaller Proofs



Smaller Proofs



During bootstrapping, trade off availability for size

Trading Away Availability



Trading Away Availability

Shrink certificates by 74x (tunable)

On failure, use block header hashes to bypass failure

(0.1MB to skip < *delay* blocks)















Block headers contains hash of previous block headers

Fall back to large certificates (7MB to skip *delay* blocks)

Evaluation

- Simulate trace of 500 million simple transactions
- Prototype data structures in Bitcoin, Ethereum, Algorand, and Vault
 - All 3 Vault techniques: transaction expiration, adaptive sharding, and succinct certificates
 - *delay* = 1000, *#shards* = 1000
- Measure bootstrapping data transfer cost

Data Transfer Cost



Related Work

- Minimize State:
 - Lightning Network (Poon and Dryja)
 - Edrax (Chepurnoy *et al.*)
 - OmniLedger (Kokoris-Kogias et al.)
- Minimize Proof of State:
 - MimbleWimble (Poelstra)
 - Chainiac (Nikitin et al.)

Conclusion

- Bootstrapping costs prevent scaling
- Vault techniques address these costs
 - Succinct certificates securely compress history, trading off availability
- Reduction in bootstrapping costs to 477MB: lower by 90.5% (Ethereum) or 99.7% (Bitcoin)

Backup slides

Different settings of f



Bootstrapping Proof Size



Bootstrapping with Randomization



Skipping Blocks with Randomization



Vaulting with Randomization



Vaulting with Randomization



Vaulting with Randomization



Fallback with Algorand



Fallback with Algorand

