

Proceedings

# **FUZZING 2022**

## **1st International Fuzzing Workshop**

April 24, 2022  
San Diego, CA, USA

*Hosted by the*





---

**Internet Society**  
**11710 Plaza America Drive**  
**Suite 400**  
**Reston, VA 20190**

---

Copyright © 2022 by the Internet Society.  
All rights reserved.

This volume is published as a collective work. The Internet Society owns the copyright for this publication and the copyrights to the individual papers are retained by their respective author[s].

Address your correspondence to: NDSS Program Manager, Internet Society, 11710 Plaza America Drive, Suite 400, Reston, VA 20190 USA, tel. +1 703 439 2120, fax +1 703 326 9881, [ndss@elists.isoc.org](mailto:ndss@elists.isoc.org).

*The papers included here comprise the proceedings of the meeting mentioned on the cover and title page. They reflect the authors' opinions and, in the interest of timely dissemination, are published as presented and without change. Their inclusion in this publication does not necessarily constitute endorsement by the editors or the Internet Society.*

ISBN Number (Digital Format) 1-891562-77-0

*Additional copies may be ordered from:*



**Internet Society**  
11710 Plaza America Drive  
Suite 400  
Reston, VA 20190  
tel +1 703.439.2120  
fax +1 703.326.9881  
<http://www.internetsociety.org>

## **Table of Contents**

### **Message from the Organizers**

**Organizing Committee**

**Program Committee**

### **Session 1**

Dissecting American Fuzzy Lop - A FuzzBench Evaluation

*Andrea Fioraldi, Alessandro Mantovani (EURECOM), Dominik Maier (TU Berlin),  
Davide Balzarotti (EURECOM)*

Fine-Grained Coverage-Based Fuzzing

*Bernard Nongpoh, Marwan Nour, Michaël Marcozzi, Sébastien Bardin (Université  
Paris-Saclay, CEA, List)*

### **Session 2**

First, Fuzz the Mutants

*Alex Groce, Goutamkumar Kalburgi (Northern Arizona University), Claire Le Goues,  
Kush Jain (Carnegie Mellon University), Rahul Gopinath (Saarland University)*

Generating Test Suites for GPU Instruction Sets through Mutation and Equivalence  
Checking

*Shoham Shitrit, Sreepathi Pai (University of Rochester)*

### **Session 3**

Fuzzing Configurations of Program Options

*Zenong Zhang (University of Texas at Dallas), George Klees (University of  
Maryland), Eric Wang (Poolesville High School), Michael Hicks (University of  
Maryland), Shiyi Wei (University of Texas at Dallas)*

NSFuzz: Towards Efficient and State-Aware Network Service Fuzzing

*Shisong Qin (Tsinghua University), Fan Hu (State Key Laboratory of Mathematical  
Engineering and Advanced Computing), Bodong Zhao, Tingting Yin, Chao Zhang  
(Tsinghua University)*

datAFLOW: Towards a Data-Flow-Guided Fuzzer

*Adrian Herrera (Australian National University and Defence Science and  
Technology), Mathias Payer (EPFL), Antony Hosking (Australian National  
University)*

# Message from the Organizers: Introducing our Pre-Registration-based Publication Process

It is our great pleasure to welcome you to the 1st International Workshop on Fuzzing (FUZZING 2022), co-located with NDSS in San Diego, CA, USA on 24 April 2022. This workshop is the first of its kind, presenting the drafts of registered reports that were accepted as part of the first stage in a two-stage publication process.

FUZZING 2022 introduces to our community a novel preregistration-based publication process that consists of two main stages; In the first stage, the program committee (PC) evaluates all submissions based on: (i) the significance and novelty of the hypotheses or techniques and (ii) the soundness and reproducibility of the methodology specified to validate the claims or hypotheses—but explicitly not based on the strength of the (preliminary) results. These draft registered reports are presented and improved at the FUZZING 2022 workshop in San Diego. After the workshop, the final versions of the registered reports are re-checked and approved by the PC. In the second stage, the PC and the Artifact Evaluation Committee (AEC), chaired by Yannic Noller, check whether the experimental methodology as laid out by the authors was correctly followed. We are excited to announce that the outcome of this stage will be published in the ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology (TOSEM) via the Preregistration track, subject to approval by the TOSEM Board.

By shifting the focus away from the results and back to the innovations, key claims, and the evaluation methodology, we can minimize the temptation to overclaim. Also, it will provide early feedback before time is spent following a possibly unsound evaluation methodology, which would lead to rejection only when all the work is already done, or the request for more experiments when all the work has concluded. This way, we hope the reviewer's focus shifts from gatekeeping to providing productive feedback, aiming to ensure the best study design possible.

The main objectives of our new publication process are:

- *Fairness*. By asking reviewers to evaluate the study design rather than the final article, we can prevent some types of subconscious bias, such as publication bias (where authors are inclined to publish only positive results selectively), confirmation bias (where reviewers might give more credence to results that support their own views), and impact bias (where reviewers might give novel results more consideration).
- *Reproducibility*. By requesting prospective authors to specify a precise experiment protocol and consulting an AEC, reproducibility becomes a first-class citizen of the reviewing process. We request authors to provide the final artifact to ensure that other researchers can build on these results. Current authors would receive early feedback on the soundness and reproducibility of the proposed evaluation methodology, while future authors would have clear instructions on reproducing the results.
- *Support*. In this first-of-its-kind joint venture between academia and industry, we are pledging our support with the required infrastructure and resources, if needed. This is provided by Google's fuzzer benchmarking platform and service, FuzzBench. Our aims are to reduce the barrier to entry, to facilitate a sound experimental evaluation, to maximize reproducibility, and to facilitate innovations towards a more secure open-source software ecosystem.

The purpose of the FUZZING 2022 workshop is two-fold. On the one hand, authors can get early feedback on their proposed research. On the other hand, reviewers can discuss community expectations, e.g., for a sound empirical evaluation. As part of the workshop, we organize a fishbowl where the participants can discuss their experience with Stage 1 of the publication process and provide feedback and suggestions for improvement.

The FUZZING 2022 Program Committee selected seven drafts of registered reports for the technical program of the workshop from a pool of nine submissions. Each submission received at least three reviews, and after discussion among reviewers, one additional meta-review. For each submission, the reviewers jointly decided to give one of four scores: A submission could be accepted without revision, with minor revision, and with major revisions, or it could be rejected. All accepted drafts have been published in the NDSS companion proceedings. After the FUZZING workshop, the authors will submit the revised versions of the registered reports to the ACM TOSEM Preregistration track to confirm the requested revision. For continuity, we are planning to invite the same reviewers for confirmation. Once the registered report is in-principle accepted, the experimentation period has officially commenced. When the experiments are finalized, authors of confirmed reports will be able to submit the full technical paper with all results for journal publication. If the PC and AEC confirm that the agreed-upon experimental protocol has been followed, any deviations from the protocol have been explained, and the results have been properly interpreted, the full paper is accepted for journal publication.

The workshop will also feature two exciting keynotes from well-known members of the community: one from Andreas Zeller (CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security) on “Fuzzing: A Tale of Two Cultures” and the other from Abhishek Arya (Google) on “The Evolution of Fuzzing in Finding the Unknowns”.

We would like to take the opportunity to thank the program committee, the artifact evaluation committee, our keynote speakers, the NDSS workshop chairs, as well as all of the authors who submitted papers. We also thank ACM TOSEM Editor in Chief Mauro Pezze for his openness towards our initiative and the productive discussions in support of our endeavour. Without everyone’s generous support, our journey would not be possible.

We hope to see interactive sessions between researchers and practitioners and hope to provide a stimulating forum for exchanging and developing new ideas in fuzzing. We wish all of you an enjoyable workshop.

**Marcel Böhme**  
*MPI for Security  
and Privacy  
Germany  
marcel.boehme@  
acm.org*

**Cristian Cadar**  
*Imperial College  
London  
United Kingdom  
c.cadar@  
imperial.ac.uk*

**Baishakhi Ray**  
*Columbia University  
United States  
of America  
rayb@  
cs.columbia.edu*

**László Szekeres**  
*Google  
United States  
of America  
lszekeres@  
google.com*

## Organizing Committee

Marcel Böhme, *MPI-SP and Monash University*

Cristian Cadar, *Imperial College London*

Baishakhi Ray, *Columbia University*

László Szekeres, *Google*

## Program Committee

Cornelius Aschermann, *Facebook*

Sang Kil Cha, *KAIST*

Brendan Dolan-Gavitt, *New York University*

Alastair Donaldson, *Imperial College London*

Renáta Hodován, *University of Szeged*

Thorsten Holz, *CISPA*

Caroline Lemieux, *Microsoft Research and UBC*

Martin Nowak, *Imperial College London*

Van-Thuan Pham, *The University of Melbourne*

John Regehr, *University of Utah*

Konstantin Serebryany, *Google*

Willem Visser, *AWS and Stellenbosch University*

Qian Zhang, *University of California, Los Angeles*

Chengyu Zhang, *East China Normal University*