

Et Tu Alexa? When Commodity WiFi Devices Turn into Adversarial Motion Sensors

Yanzi Zhu^{*}, **Zhujun Xiao**, Yuxin Chen, Zhijing Li^{*}, Max Liu, Ben Y. Zhao, Heather Zheng

University of Chicago, *UC Santa Barbara

Smart Devices are Everywhere







Smart Home

Smart Factory

Smart Office

Attacks Enabled by Smart Devices



Silent Reconnaissance Attack



Silent Reconnaissance Attack







Reconnaissance attack via listening to (w/o decoding) WiFi signals

Leveraging Two Facts

(1) Smart devices are filling our home/office/factory; each room has multiple devices.



(2) Smart devices transmit WiFi data regularly.



Device	Packets sent per second	
	Active	Idle
Q	108	≥ 0.5
Rest.	16	2
TV	200	6.64
	≥ 3.33	≥ 2.44
(((₁)))	257	28.6

Human Motion is "Embedded" in Ambient WiFi Signals



Ambient WiFi signals fluctuate when humans move.

Sniffer captures such fluctuation.

Threat model:

- 1. Non-intrusive
- 2. Undetectable

Outline

Introduction

Silent Reconnaissance Attack

Attack Implementation & Real-world Evaluation

Defense

How is Human Motion Embedded in WiFi Signals



Measure Signal Variation via CSI

Our solution: leverage Channel State Information (CSI) – CSI = signal strength at different sub-frequencies



$\overline{\sigma_{aCSI}}$ Captures Human Motion

 $\overline{\sigma_{aCSI}}$ can separate with and without human motion.

 $\overline{\sigma_{aCSI}}$ can tell human is moving towards or away from anchor.



Our Attack: End-to-end View



Attack Implementation & Real-world Evaluation

Implementation

- Modified WiFi firmware to passively collect CSI
 - 1st to enable passive CSI collection of any commodity WiFi devices*

Experiments

- 11 homes & offices with various floorplans
- 31 WiFi devices & 5 volunteers

Measurements

- 41 hours of data (~8 hours of human motion)





Sniffer: Nexus 5 w/ modified WiFi firmware



Setup Example

*Previous work can not collect CSI continuously on commodity devices.

Attack is Effective



* LiFS: Low human-effort, device-free localization with fine-grained subcarrier information. MobiCom'16.

Attack is Robust

How effective is our attack at low packet rate?

- Human detection rate drops only 1.5% when anchor transmits at 2 packets per second (pps), compared to full rate 11pps.

How about non-human sources of motion?



Defense via Corrupting Attacker's Received Signal

Observation: the effectiveness of this attack depends on **quantity** and **quality** of signals.



Our Proposal: AP-Based Obfuscation

Spatial Obfuscation

AP sends cover traffic on behalf of each smart device (using its MAC address).

Temporal Obfuscation

AP randomly vary power over time.



Our Proposal: AP-Based Obfuscation

Spatial Obfuscation

AP sends cover traffic on behalf of each smart device (using its MAC address).

Temporal Obfuscation

AP randomly vary power over time.



With defense, human detection rate drops significantly.

Conclusion

Undetectable silent reconnaissance attack

- No hacking needed, only passive WiFi signal analysis

Effective in real-world evaluations

– 11 homes/offices, 31 WiFi devices

New defenses

- AP-based obfuscation is effective

Thank you Any questions?