UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

### Accountable Wiretapping -or-I know they can hear you now

Adam Bates University of Oregon Kevin Butler University of Oregon Micah Sherr Georgetown University

Clay Shields Georgetown University Patrick Traynor Georgia Institute of Technology Dan Wallach Rice University

NDSS'12, San Diego, CA, USA 7 February 2012

# When wiretaps go bad...





"Due to the improper minimization of calls, creating 'gaps' throughout the majority of calls, and preventing relevant conversations from being heard in their full context, Blagojevich requests that all wiretapped recordings be suppressed..."

### Wiretapping, Unaccountably

- United States wiretaps cannot demonstrate correct behavior or detect incorrect behavior.
- Wiretap targets can take active countermeasures to obscure communication or corrupt wiretap transcripts.
- Violation of wiretap laws could render transcripts inadmissible in federal trials.
- Citizens need stronger assurance that wiretaps were legally authorized and employed.

## Accountable Wiretapping

- Our work demonstrates that wiretap events can be safely logged in a privacy preserving manner.
- Our architecture assumes a potentially untrusted storage service that:
  - (i) Never obtains access to plaintext wiretap records
  - (ii) Cannot determine the number or scope of wiretaps orders
- In spite of this, our storage can prove to auditors that it has correctly recorded all encrypted data.

## Background: Lawful Access

- UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
- Modern United States wiretaps were established by the 1994 U.S. Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (CALEA) and implemented via the 2003 ANSI J-STD-025 ("J-Standard") specification.
- Two forms of wiretap order: <u>pen registers</u> allow access to call metadata, <u>full audio interception</u> orders allow law enforcement to access call content.
- CALEA wiretaps lack audit features, complicating the process of generating the required annual wiretap report.

# Background: CALEA Wiretapping



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

# Background: Can They Hear Me Now?

- <u>Call Data Channel (CDC) Resource Exhaustion</u>: wiretap targets can generate events at a rate that overwhelm the channel, preventing call data from being recorded.
- Injecting Confusion & Uncertainty: targets can deny reconstruction of traffic flows and craft packets that insert non-existent correspondence into wiretap transcripts.

# Design: Overview



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

### Design:Threat Model

- UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
- <u>Wiretap Target</u>: may attempt denial-of-service attacks (Completeness) on the wiretap resource channels.
- <u>Unauthorized Wiretapper</u>: may issue illegal wiretap orders (*Total Reporting*), or use a legal wiretap outside of the valid date range (*Date Compliance*).
- <u>Dishonest Log</u>: may attempt to read records (Confidentiality), discover the existence of a wiretap order (Unlinkability) or tamper with records (Integrity).

## Protocol: Event Logging



En	Encryption	
(1)	Wiretap Event	Record Key
(2)	Event Count (per wiretap)	Record Key
(3)	Hash of (1), (2)	Record Key
(4)	Aggregate Block	Accountant Public Key
(5)	Event Timestamp	Cleartext

### Protocol: Court Audits



Wishing to receive the records associated with wiretap order  $\omega$  from time  $T_s$  to  $T_e$ , the court issues request:

Court Auditor  $\rightarrow$  Log : CourtAudit( $T_s, T_e$ )











Message	$M_i$	$M_{i+1}$	$M_{i+2}$	$M_{i+3}$	$M_{i+4}$
Time	$t_s$	$t_{s+1}$	$t_{s+2}$	$t_{s+3}$	$t_e$
Кеу	$r_{\omega}$	$r_{ u}$	$r_{ u}$	$r_{\omega}$	$r_{\omega}$

## Protocol: Accounting Audits



The aggregation block is a set of counters encrypted with the Paillier system  $\mathcal{E}_{G^+}(Q)$  such that for messages  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{G^-}(\mathcal{E}_{G^+}(Q_1) \cdot \mathcal{E}_{G^+}(Q_2)) = Q_1 + Q_2$ .

Random Previo	ous Pen Register,	Audio Intercept,	Pen Register,	Audio Intercept,
seqno seqr	10 New	New	Expiring	Expiring

Oregon Systems Infrastructure Research and Information Security (OSIRIS) Lab

### Protocol: Accounting Audits

The accountant can use aggregate block sequence numbers to confirm that no records were omitted.

 $Accountant \rightarrow Log: AccountingAudit, T_1, T_4$ 

$$Log \rightarrow Accountant: M_1, \sigma(M_1), M_4, \sigma(M_4), \sum_1^4 B_i$$

The accountant subtracts the sequence numbers from the sum of the previous sequence numbers. Most cancel out, leaving the value  $s_4 - s_0$ .

Random seqno	Previous seqno
$s_1$	$s_0$
$s_2$	$s_1$
$s_3$	$s_2$
$s_4$	$s_3$



## Protocol: Message Type Summary

	Туре	Description
(1)	Wiretap Event	Transmits legitimate wiretap data
(2)	Wiretap Start, Stop	Sets counters in aggregate block
(3)	Heartbeat Message	Bounds Log record omission
(4)	Noise	Thwarts timing analysis of channel

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

## Security Analysis

- UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
- <u>Detecting Denial-of-Service</u>: our architecture can detect lost messages through redundant storage and sequence numbering.
- <u>Detecting Unauthorized Wiretaps</u>: our architecture can detect unauthorized wiretaps whose data is relayed through the **Encryptor**.
- <u>Handling a Malicious Log</u>: wiretap records' confidentiality and privacy are handled through encryption of call and aggregation data. If the LOG attempts to modify or omit records, it will be evident in the accounting audit.

### Evaluation: Microbenchmarks

- We implemented our architecture using an Asterisk telephone softswitch.
- Our Implementation's
   Encryptor throughput was 30.53 events per second with 1024-bit aggregate block size.



Operation	l 024-bit Block	2048-bit Block
Encrypt Data	<  %	< 1%
Hash Data	<  %	< 1%
Encrypt Block	96%	99%
Sign Record	3%	<  %
Transmission	<  %	<  %
Events per second:	30.53	4.98





### Evaluation: University Traffic Test

- Generated call events from the anonymized data of a major university (4/04/2011).
- Wiretapped call events from calls of the busiest 10 minute window of the day.
- On one desktop, our
   Encryptor accomplished this at less than 3.2% maximum throughput!





### Evaluation: Additional Calculations

- In 2008, there were 21,000 pen registers. Our implementation would require three commodity machines to handle this load.
- In 2003, ATT handled 3,500 calls per second. Our implementation could handle 10% of this traffic on a single multicore machine.







### Conclusion

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

In this work we have made the following contributions:

- Developed an attacker model for accountable wiretapping.
- Introduced new protocols to enable trustworthy wiretap auditing.
- Developed a minimal-impact retrofit for current interception systems.
- Demonstrated that all U.S. pen register traffic can be handled on a few commodity machines.





### Adam Bates

### amb@cs.uoregon.edu

Oregon Systems Infrastructure Research and Information Security (OSIRIS) Lab