



# No Loitering: Exploiting Lingering Vulnerabilities in Default COM Objects

David Dewey dewey@us.ibm.com Patrick Traynor traynor@cc.gatech.edu

© 2011 IBM Corporation



#### Introduction

Gr



© 2011 IBM Corporation



### **COM Background – Introduction**

J.

- Language-neutral design philosophy for the creation of components for MS Windows
- Defines a base framework for creating plugins and components for myriad MS products
- Plugins are identified by a GUID or class id (CLSID) stored in the Windows Registry
- Allows for the persistence of object state between instantiations





# **COM Background – Security**

- Enforcing the instantiation of third-party objects is a significant security concern
- Currently, few discrete applications enforce a black list
- CLSID's are checked at instantiation time against a list in the Windows Registry
- As vulnerabilities are discovered in COM objects, they are often just listed in the killbit list – not actually fixed

~		
1	This application is about to initialize ActiveX controls that might be unsafe. If you trust the source of	this doo
_	Show Help >>	
	March 1	



# **COM Background – Management**

<u>J</u>

- Average Windows install will have 1000's of COM Objects
- Current killbit list has over 600 entries
- Many libraries contain multiple COM objects

**J** 



### **Vulnerability Characterization – Architectural Weakness**

- Black lists are only enforced on controls loaded by the base executable itself
- Trusted COM objects may load any other object without security verification
- By creating a specially crafted persistence stream, one COM object can be coerced into loading another





### **Vulnerability Characterization – Attack Requirements**

An attacker must have the following:

**1)An application that will render adversary-controlled content** Internet Explorer, MS Word, MS Excel, Adobe Reader, etc.

2)An application that will load COM objects Internet Explorer, MS Word, MS Excel, Adobe Reader, etc.

### 3)A COM object that will in turn load other COM objects

Many objects that are based on the MS ATL

#### 4)A vulnerable object that can be exploited

Killbit list is has over 600 entries

© 2011 IBM Corporation



#### **Vulnerability Characterization – Proof of Concept**

- 1) Create a MS Word Document can be emailed, rendered by browser, etc.
- 2) COM objects can be embedding in Word right through the GUI
- 3) Load MS Date and Time picker control
- 4) Have the control above load Microsoft Helper Object for Java
- 5) Exploit vulnerability in Helper Object for Java

📥 TCPView - Sysinternals: www	iew - Sysinternals: www.sysinternals.com								
File Options Process View H	elp								
🔛 🔺 🕑									
Process	Protocol	Local Addre 🔺	Remote Addre						
🗂 svchost.exe:1828	TCP	0.0.0.3389	0.0.0.0:0						
📰 inetinfo.exe:3084	UDP	0.0.0.0:3456	×.×						
MNWORD.EXE:1800	TCP	0.0.0.0:4444	0.0.0.0:0						
🛅 Isass.exe:1640	UDP	0.0.0.0:4500	*.*						



### **Vulnerability Characterization – Breadth of Attack**

- Many applications allow instantiation of COM objects
  - MS Office, Adobe Reader, Internet Explorer, Flash, etc.
- A new application could be created today
- Trying to secure each application individually is a fool's errand



#### **Mitigation Architecture – Assumptions**

- Our goal is to prevent the exploitation of this vulnerability on a clean system
- We intend to adhere to Microsoft's design model
- We do not intend to protect infected systems
- We do not intend to protect against the instantiation of COM objects by malicious COM containers
- BOTTOM LINE: We intend to stop the initial attack





### **Mitigation Architecture – High-Level Architecture**

- Hook every COM instantiation API
- Look up the CLSID in a pre-defined black list
- Terminate the instantiation as necessary





# **Results and Discussion – Effectiveness**

#### Successfully stopped attacks against:

- MS Internet Explorer
- MS Word

- MS Excel
- MS PowerPoint
- "Homemade" COM Container
- ActiveX Control Test Container

Eile	Edit	⊻iew	Insert	Format	Tools	Table	Window	Help	
) 🛛	3 🗐	12	14	0.149	<b>以</b> 10	<b>追</b>	17 - 1 8		*
								_	100
	_								
		COM 5	curity	Policy Vid	lation				×
		A DATE OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF	ashian U.	TANK ODD I	EXE attemp	sted to	load the CO	M object	S
	T	he appli		14 CE OFF	CAL decomp		noau une co	IN ODJEC	
	T {i	he appli BE26BF	C1-AFD6	-11CF-BFF	C-00AA00	3CFDF	C} which is in	the kill	oit list
	T {i	he appli 3E26BF	C1-AFD6	-11CF-BFF	C-00AA00	3CFDF	C} which is in	n the kill	, bit list



## **Results and Discussion – Performance**

#### Average lookup time of 554µs

- 95% confidence interval of  $\pm 104 \mu s$
- Using Microsoft API's to query registry
  - Linear scan of registry

## Could be improved with a more intelligent database

_	-	_	_		-
	-	_	-		-
				-	
				W 1	
		_	_		

# **Results and Discussion – Policy Creation**

- Working from the killbit list is still difficult
- Experimented with creating per-application lists
- Experimented with deploying system-wide interesting side effects





# **Results and Discussion – Practical Impact**

#### Microsoft Security Vulnerabilities

- MS10-083

**S**IC

- MS10-036
- MS09-060
- MS09-037
- MS09-035

### Disclosed through US-CERT VU #456745

- Adobe APSB09-10
- Cisco-SA-20090728
- F5 Networks FirePass Controls
- SonicWALL XTSAC.cab
- Sun Alert 264648



## Conclusion

- How many gates do you have to put up?
- Standard COM architecture creates a transitive trust issue
- Many COM containers on the average Windows install
- Hundreds of vulnerable COM object lingering on the average Windows install
- Windows needs a centralized solution for the management of COM security







## **Questions?**

<u>dewey@us.ibm.com</u> <u>traynor@cc.gatech.edu</u>

