Privacy-Preserving Shortest Path Computation

David J. Wu, Joe Zimmerman, Jérémy Planul, and John C. Mitchell

Stanford University

Navigation



Navigation: A Solved Problem?



Issue: cloud learns where you are and where you are going!

"Trivial" Solution



"Trivial" Solution



Give me the entire map!



Pros: lots of privacy (for the client)

Cons:

- routing information constantly changing
- map provider doesn't want to give away map for "free"



Client Privacy: server does not learn source or destination

Server Privacy: client only learns route from source to destination

Private Shortest Paths

Model: assume client knows topology of the network (e.g., road network from OpenStreetMap)

Weights on edges (e.g., travel times) are hidden

Client Privacy: Server does not learn client's source *s* or destination *t*

Server Privacy: Client only learns $s \rightarrow t$ shortest path and nothing about weights of other edges not in shortest path

Straw Man Solution

Suppose road network has *n* nodes

Construct *n*×*n* database:

 $[\blacksquare r \downarrow 11 \& r \downarrow 12 \& \cdots \& r \downarrow 1n @ r \downarrow 21 \& r \downarrow 22 \& \cdots \& r \downarrow 2n @ \& \& \ddots \& \vdots @ r \downarrow n1 \& r \downarrow n2 \& \cdots \& r \downarrow nn]$

record $r \downarrow st$: shortest path from node s to node t(e.g., $s \rightarrow v \downarrow 1 \rightarrow v \downarrow 2 \rightarrow t$)

Shortest Path Protocol: privately retrieve record rlst from database

Symmetric Private Information Retrieval (SPIR)



cloud database

Client Privacy: server does not learn *i*

Server Privacy: client only learns record *i*

Straw man solution requires SPIR on databases with *n*² records – quadratic in number of nodes in the graph – rather impractical!



Observation 1: Nodes in road networks tend to have low (constant) degree

Typically, an intersection has up to four neighbors (for the four cardinal directions)



For each node in the network, associate each neighbor with a direction (unique index)

Next-hop routing matrix for graph with *n* nodes:

 $[\blacksquare r \downarrow 11 \& r \downarrow 12 \& \cdots \& r \downarrow 1n @ r \downarrow 21 \& r \downarrow 22 \& \cdots \& r \downarrow 2n @ \vdots \& \vdots \& \ddots \& \vdots @ r \downarrow n1 \& r \downarrow n2 \& \cdots \& r \downarrow nn]$

rlst: index of neighbor to take
on first hop on shortest path
from node s to node t

shortest path protocol: <u>iteratively</u> retrieve the next hop in shortest path



Routing from 0 to 4:

- 1. Query $r \downarrow 04$: North
- 2. Query $r \downarrow 14$: North
- 3. Query *r*J24 : East
- 4. Query *r*J34 : East

But same problem as before: SPIR on database with *n1*2 elements



Observation 2: Road networks have geometric structure

Nodes above hyperplane: first hop is north or east

Nodes below hyperplane: first hop is south or west



If each node has four neighbors, can specify neighbors with **two** bits:

- 1st bit: encode direction along NW/SE axis
- 2nd bit: encode direction along NE/SW axis

A Compressible Structure

Let *Mt*(NE) and *Mt*(NW) be next-hop matrices along NE and NW axis (entries in *Mt*(NE) and *Mt*(NW) are bits)

Objective: for $i \in \{NE, NW\}$, find matrices $A\uparrow(i), B\uparrow(i)$ such that $M\uparrow(i) = sign(A\uparrow(i) \cdot (B\uparrow(i))\uparrow T)$

A Compressible Structure

Objective: for $i \in \{NE, NW\}$, find matrices $A\uparrow(i), B\uparrow(i)$ such that $M\uparrow(i) = sign(A\uparrow(i) \cdot (B\uparrow(i))\uparrow T)$



Computing next-hop reduces to computing inner products

Index of row in *A* only depend on *source*, index of row in *B* only depend on *destination*

A Compressible Structure



Compressed Representation

An Iterative Shortest-Path Protocol

To learn next-hop on $s \rightarrow t$ shortest path:

- 1. Use SPIR to obtain sth row of At(NE) and At(NW)
- 2. Use SPIR to obtain tfth row of Bt(NE) and Bt(NW)
- 3. Compute

 $M\downarrow st\uparrow(NE) = sign(A\downarrow s\uparrow(NE), B\downarrow t\uparrow(NE))$ and $M\downarrow st\uparrow(NW) = sign(A\downarrow s\uparrow(NW), B\downarrow t\uparrow(NW))$

SPIR queries on databases with *n* records **Problem**: rows and columns of *A,B* reveal more information than desired

Affine Encodings and Arithmetic Circuits

Goal: Reveal inner product without revealing vectors

Idea: Use a "garbled" arithmetic circuit (affine encodings) [AIK14]

 Encodings reveal output of computation (inner product) and nothing more

Solution: SPIR on arithmetic circuit *encodings*

An Iterative Shortest-Path Protocol

To learn next-hop on $s \rightarrow t$ shortest path:

- 1. Use SPIR to obtain encodings of *st*th row of *At*(NE) and *At*(NW)
- 2. Use SPIR to obtain encodings of *t*?th row of *B*?(NE) and *B*?(NW)
- 3. Evaluate inner products (Alst(NE), Bltt(NE)) and (Alst(NW), Bltt(NW))
- 4. Compute *Mist*(NE) and *Mist*(NW) (signs of inner products)

Affine encodings hide source and destination matrices, but inner products reveal too much information

Thresholding via Garbled Circuits

Goal: Reveal only the *sign* of the inner product

Solution: Blind inner product and evaluate the sign function using a garbled circuit [Yao86, BHR12]

- Instead of (x,y), compute $\alpha(x,y)+\beta$ for random $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}\downarrow p$
- Use garbled circuit to unblind and computing the sign

An Iterative Shortest-Path Protocol

To learn next-hop on $s \rightarrow t$ shortest path:

- 1. Use SPIR to obtain encodings of *st*th row of *At(NE)* and *At(NW)*
- 2. Use SPIR to obtain encodings of *tt*th row of *Bt*(NE) and *Bt*(NW)
- 3. Evaluate to obtain blinded inner products *z*t(NE) and *z*t(NW)
- 4. Use garbled circuit to compute *Mist*(NE) and *Mist*(NW)

Semi-honest secure!

See paper for protection against malicious parties

Benchmarks



Preprocessed city maps from OpenStreetMap

Online Benchmarks

| City | Number of Nodes | Time per Round (s) | Bandwidth (KB) |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| San Francisco | 1830 | 1.44 ± 0.16 | 88.24 |
| Washington D.C. | 2490 | 1.64 ± 0.13 | 90.00 |
| Dallas | 4993 | 2.91±0.19 | 95.02 |
| Los Angeles | 7010 | 4.75±0.22 | 100.54 |

Timing and bandwidth for each round of the online protocol (with protection against <u>malicious</u> clients)

End-to-End Benchmarks

| | City | Number of Rounds | Total Online Time (s) | Online Bandwidth (MB) | | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | San Francisco | 97 | 140.39 | 8.38 | | |
| | Washington D.C. | 120 | 197.48 | 10.57 | | |
| | Dallas | 126 | 371.44 | 11.72 | | |
| | Los Angeles | 165 | 784.34 | 16.23 | | |
| End-to-end performance of private shortest paths protocol (after padding | | | | | | |
| number of rounds to maximum length of shortest path for each network) | | | | | | |

Conclusions

Problem: privacy-preserving navigation

Routing information for road networks are compressible!

 Optimization-based compression technique achieves over 10x compression of next-hop matrices

Compressed routing matrix lends itself to iterative shortest-path protocol

- Computing the shortest path reduces to computing sign of inner product
- Leverage combination of arithmetic circuits + Boolean circuits

Questions?